Court had disputed the claim worked out against them and similar is the position of Fulford;

(b) what are the reasons for suspending the recovery in respect to Rifampicin, Doxycycline, Dipyridamol and Metranidazole in whose cases the amounts due were finally worked out and intimated to the companies;

(c) whether it is a fact that final figures were arrived at after giving hearings to the companies;

(d) what are the basis of disputes now at a later stage and what are the names of the companies;

(e) what are the details of issues which have been disputed by the companies?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI J. VENGAL RAO): (a) The representations of the companies have been taken into account by the Special Team.

(b) to (e) As already stated in reply to the referred Question, the amounts assessed for recovery have been disputed in a number of cases on various counts. It has been decided to refer this matter to a high Powered Committee.

**Assessment of Amounts**

2015. SHRI JAGESH DESAI: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 4550 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 5th September, 1988 and to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the special team has assessed only the tentative amounts and not the final;

(b) if so, what are the reasons therefor;

(c) whether it is a fact that drug companies provided complete details, information and clarifications wherever needed by the team;

(d) whether it is also a fact that all documents needed by the Committee were provide for verification by the companies;

(e) whether the amount has been assessed based on difference in the bulk drug rate or difference in the formulations rates of both; and

(f) what are the details of the cases where amount has been assessed based on bulk drug prices difference and what are the details of cases where it is between formulations rates as well as details where it is between both?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI J. VENGAL RAO): (a) The details of amount assessed by the special team are given in reply to parts (b) & (c) of the Rajya Sabha Starred Question No. 224 for 28.11.88.

(b) to (f) The special team has assessed the amount after verifying the records of the companies concerned.

**Feasibility of Tehri Dam Project**

2016. SHRI GURUDAS DAS GUPTA:
SHRI CHATURANAN MISHRA:

Will the Minister of ENERGY be pleased to state:

(a) whether the work on the proposed Tehri Dam Project is in progress;

(b) if so, what are the details thereof;

(c) whether it is a fact that the Soviets are having a second thought on this project as it is not only economically unfeasible but technologically unsound also; and

(d) if so, what are the details thereof and what is Government's reaction thereto?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF POWER IN THE MINISTRY OF ENERGY (SHRI KALP NATH RAI): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Four diversion tunnels with an aggregate length of 6600 meters have been completed. Excavation for spillway, stripping of dam abutment, construction of head